UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF NE			
ANTHONY MEDINA,	Plaintiff,	:X : : :	20-cv-3763 (VSB)
-against-		:	,
CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,		:	<u>ORDER</u>
	Defendants.	:	
		: X	

#### VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

I am in receipt of a letter dated June 27, 2023 and exhibits annexed thereto which Plaintiff mailed to my chambers. I have attached this letter and its exhibits <sup>1</sup> as Exhibit 1 to this Order. In the letter, Plaintiff "seeks that this case be placed in abeyance, or that Defendants' dispositive motions not be ruled on, an/or that the Court appoint counsel to represent Plaintiff." Plaintiff then proceeds to describe the same circumstances that he raised in his letter dated January 10, 2022, when he sought and was granted an extension of time to oppose Defendants' motions to dismiss. (Doc. 128.) In my Order responding to the January 10 letter, I explained that "I have great sympathy for Plaintiff's situation, but I have a responsibility to ensure the swift administration of justice" and would not be granting further extension requests, "barring extraordinary circumstances." (Doc. 129.) Because Plaintiff has not raised circumstances beyond those which I have already considered when granting an extension of time, his request to hold the case in abeyance is DENIED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The exhibits attached to Plaintiff's letter have been redacted to remove personal identifying information and medical records. An unredacted version of the letter and exhibits will be filed under seal.

With regard to Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel, in determining whether

to grant an application for counsel, a court must consider "the merits of plaintiff's case, the

plaintiff's ability to pay for private counsel, his efforts to obtain a lawyer, the availability of

counsel, and the plaintiff's ability to gather the facts and deal with the issues if unassisted by

counsel." Quadir v. New York State Dep't of Lab., 39 F. Supp. 3d 528, 543 (S.D.N.Y. 2014)

(quoting Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., Inc., 877 F.2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989) (per curiam)).

Without expressing a view concerning the merits of Plaintiff's claim, Plaintiff's request for pro

bono counsel is denied without prejudice because it is too early in the proceedings for the Court

to assess the merits of the action. See Quadir, 39 F. Supp. 3d at 543 (denying request for

appointment of counsel because it was "too early to adequately weigh the merits" of the case at

the motion to dismiss stage). If this case survives Defendants' motion to dismiss, Plaintiff may

renew his application for appointment of counsel.

Defendants' motions to dismiss are fully briefed and a decision will be forthcoming.

The Clerk is respectfully directed to mail a copy of this order to the pro se Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2023

New York, New York

United States District Judge

# **EXHIBIT 1**

June 27, 2023

Honorable Vernon S. Broderick United States District Judge United States District Court 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

RE: Medina v. NYS Division of Parole, et. al.(VSB)
Case No. 20-Civ-3763

#### Honorable Broderick:

Please accept this letter as a formal motion due to my lack of knowledge of the law and proper formality. My name is Cynthia Ortiz and I am the Home Aide for Anthony Medina and am writing on behalf of my client, Mr. Medina, the *pro se* Plaintiff in this action. <sup>1</sup>

The instant application seeks that this case be placed in abeyance, or that Defendants' dispositive motions not be ruled on, and/or that the Court appoint counsel to represent Plaintiff Anthony Medina for the following reasons.

As this Court is aware, Plaintiff Media is legally blind and relies on a CCTV magnification device to read. See Medina v. Buther, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS25674 \*3,17 (SDNY Feb. 3, 2017). (Also see annexed exhibit A). On or about May 9, 2021, Plaintiff Medina was struck by a car and his right wrist/hand was broken. Mr. Medina's CCTV reading device, which he had on his person, was also broken

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Medina is telling me what to write fir the instant letter/motion.

beyond use during the accident. Mr. Medina does not have the funds to purchase another reading device. In lieu of his CCTV reading device, Mr. Medina was attending the Andrew Heiskell Braille and Talking Book Library for the Blind (see annexed Exhibit B) located at 40 West 20th Street, New York, New York to use the visually impaired devices, computers and other accommodating equipment thereat to read and author case-related documents, namely opposition papers to the Defendants' motions to dismiss. However, Mr. Medina has not been able to attend said library in the last 30 months or so because of the covid pandemic and because he has been off-and-on relying on a wheelchair to travel. Mr. Medina presently has a broken left ankle that requires further reconstructive surgery and he is confined to a wheelchair (see annexed exhibit C). Mr. Medina now relies on home care for daily living. Mr. Medina resides in the Bronx and the Heiskell Library is in lower Manhattan, far from Mr. Medina's residence. Also relevant is that even if Mr. Medina was able to travel, there is not a wheelchair accessible train station near his residence. Your Honor, without daily access to the Heiskell Library Mr. Medina is unable to read and submit a response to the Defendants' motions to dismiss.

Compounding Mr. Medina's inability to access accommodations to read and self-author documents, which prevents him from prosecuting his claims, is Mr. Medina suffers from bilateral neuropathy in his arms and hands. (see annexed exhibit D). Mr. Medina's lack of tactile sensation in his hands and fingers makes it almost impossible for Mr. Medina to grasp or manually use written instruments such as a pen or computer keyboard.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, due to a broken right wrist and arthritis, and mostly due to the recurring nerve damage in Mr. Medina's arms

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mr. Medina's also makes it impossible for him to use a regular computer keyboard.

and hands, his doctors directed him not to use his hands, as further use will make his symptoms worse. **See annexed exhibit E**.

Your Honor, based on reasons set forth above, Plaintiff Medina requests that the Court not rule on the defendants' motions to dismiss and that the Court appoint counsel to represent him in this civil action in the interest of fairness and equity.

Cordially submitted,

Anthony Medina, pro se By, Cynthia L. Ortiz, Home Aide 3073 Buhre Avenue, Apt. 6H Bronx, New York 10461

Athonymedina1978@gmail.com (929)26-9811





## Commission for the Blind

ANDREW M. CUOMO Covemor

SHEILA J. POOLE Commissioner

# Verification of Legal Blindness

Name:

Mr. Anthony Medina

Address.

127 West 25th Street 6th floor New York, New York 10001

NYSCB Registration No. CF# 168546

The above named person is registered as legally blind with the Commission for the Blind in accordance with New York State law, Section 3704.

Signature: Nathanul Begar

Title:

Assistant Commissioner

Date:

11/04/2019



Case 1:20-cy-03763-VSB



### Andrew Heiskell Braille and Talking Book Library

40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011 Phone: 212-206-5400 or toll-free 855-697-6975

Fax: 212-206-5418

Web: nypl.org/talkingbooks E-mail: talkingbooks@nypl.org

### **Application for Free Library Service for Individuals**

First Name: Mnthony	Middle Initial:	
Last Name: MEdina	S S	
Date of birth:	Gender: Wale	
Street address: 127 W 25th St.	. Rm. 6-40	
City: New York	Zip: 10001-7207	
County:		
Home phone:Cell	phone: 917 808-8507	
E-mail: Anthony Oledina 1978@ quuil	.cou	
Email me a username/password for the o		
Name of local public library or branch:		
How did you hear about us?		
Please give the name of a person to contact Name: Weleska Medine-HarreRelati	it if we cannot reach you:	
Phone:		

By law, preference in lending books and equipment is given to veterans. Please check this box if you have been honorably discharged from the armed forces of the United States.













